



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,217	01/02/2002	Robert Allan Unger	SNY-R4646.01	SNY-R4646.01 8614	
	7590 06/06/2007 ENT SERVICES	EXAMINER			
2500 DOCKER	<del>-</del>		MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
RALEIGH, NC 27606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131	· · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,217	UNGER ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
		^11.01111	

	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		THROTINE ET WAST	LLD WITTING .					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further could be a sue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		5701 000					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Cont. Ch. L						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-30,62-135 and 165-176</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>32-40,52-61,140-147,149,151 and 1</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>31,51,139,148,150 and 152-154</u> .	<u>55-164</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 16, 31, 134 and 165 have been amended to require a new search.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100